MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION			
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No		
Requestor's Name and Address San Antonio Orthopaedic Surgery Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-2842-01		
400 Concord Plaza #200	TWCC No.:		
San Antonio, TX 78216	Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent's Name and Address Service Lloyds Insurance Co.	Date of Injury:		
c/o Harris & Harris	Employer's Name:		
Box 42			
	Insurance Carrier's No.:		

TART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CDM C 1 / 1 P		
From	То	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
02/03/03	02/03/03	29881 - Arthroscopy	\$11,100.00	\$0.00
02/03/03	02/03/03	29877-59 - Arthroscopy	\$60.00	\$0.00
			Total Amount Due:	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Not paid fair and reasonable.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No Response

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.5% to 256.3% of Medicare for this particular year). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the low end of the Ingenix range. According to NCCI edits CPT Code 29877 is a component of 29881 and there are no circumstances in which a modifier would be appropriate; therefore, reimbursement cannot be recommended. The decision for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the decision and discussed the facts of the individual-case.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Médical Review, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION		
Based upon the review of the disputed health not entitled to additional reimbursement. Findings and Decision by:	hcare services, the Medical Review Division	on has determined that the requestor is
Marquelete Toster	Marguerite Foster	July 29, 2005
uthorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Decision
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEA	ARING	
Either party to this medical dispute may disage a hearing must be in writing and it must be readays of your receipt of this decision (28 Teprovider and placed in the Austin Representa after it was mailed and the first working day a Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (51). The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in espate	ceived by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Procee xas Administrative Code § 148.3). This latives box on D. 1 D. This Decision the date the Decision was placed in the for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk 2) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be shall deliver a copy of their written requirements.	edings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty Decision was mailed to the health care ion is deemed received by you five days a Austin Representative's box (28 Texas of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box all de attached to the request.
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY		or de namar a 512-804-4812.
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	CERTIFICATION	
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this I Signature of Insurance Carrier:	Decision in the Austin Representative's box	< 7 N \ \
S-Similar of Hisuration Carrier.		Date: ()